

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/656,688 | 88 09/05/2003 Robert Eastman II | | GOR-121-A-1 | 8552 |
| 21828 | 7590 10/20/2004 | EXAMINER | | |
| CARRIER I | BLACKMAN AND A | THOMPSON, HUGH B | | |
| 24101 NOVI | ROAD | | | |
| SUITE 100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NOVI, MI | 48375 | | 3634 | |
| 21828 CARRIER I 24101 NOVI SUITE 100 | 7590 10/20/2004 BLACKMAN AND A ROAD | | THOMPSON | |

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/656,68 | 8 | EASTMAN, ROBERT | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Hugh B. TI | | 3634 | \mathcal{M}_{l} | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 August 2004</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ | This action is no | on-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-10,12-18 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 . | The specification is objected to by the Exam | miner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: | oate | O-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/656,688

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-10, 18, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murphy #6,209,159. Murphy discloses a cushion 20 comprised of sealed envelope assembly 62, 80, 98, 128, 154, soft flexible top foam layer 180, denser closed cell foam reinforcing member 22 that is more than twice as thick as the outer layer, and waterproof flexible fabric outer layer 186 that surrounds and encloses the envelope assembly, top foam layer, and reinforcing member, as best seen in Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brunner et al in view of Murphy as advanced above. Brunner et al disclose a tree stand 10 comprised of frame members 11, 14, 25, attachment member 58, and foam seat member 46.

Brunner et al fail to disclose the seat cushion structure as claimed.

Art Unit: 3634

The seat cushion of Murphy as advanced above, provides a cushion having desirable levels of firmness that reduce pressure points on the body. Therefore, to one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious, as a matter of engineering design choice, to provide the tree stand of Brunner et al with a seat cushion as advanced by Murphy, so as to provides a cushion having desirable levels of firmness that reduce pressure points on the body.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter is the inclusion of the envelope having a flexible foam therein. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed feature absent the applicant's own disclosure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8 of the Amendment filed 8-05-04 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5, 8, 10, 12-19 under Section 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the Murphy reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (703) 305-0102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,688

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3634

Page 4

October 15, 2004